CAMDEN DOWNTOWN MASTER PLAN WORKING GROUP Minutes of Meeting March 27, 2012

PRESENT:

There were representatives from all of the organizations comprising the Town of Camden's Team in attendance, as well as the four members of the Lachman Architects and Planners Team, the Town's Development Director, Brian Hodges, and the Town's Planner, Steve Wilson.

1. PRESENTATION of the DRAFT CAMDEN DOWNTOWN MASTER PLAN:

The Lachman Team had submitted a 123 page "90%" Draft dated 3/26/2012, to Mr. Hodges; copies had been sent to Working Group members for their review in preparation for this meeting. (The Team has not yet completed the "Supplements" section.) The purpose of this meeting is to go through the draft, offer comments, corrections, or suggestions to the Team for the Final Draft that will be submitted to the Select Board the middle of April.

Mr. Lachman drew the group's attention to the slogan on the cover of the Plan: "where the Mountains meet Downtown & the Sea;" this is intended to communicate the importance of the downtown. He went through the structure of the Plan:

♦ Preface: The intention is to put salient subject right up front and lay the foundation for the Plan. The Preface contains the following components: The Common Vision – founded in the Comprehensive Plan; the history of reaching a consensus regarding the need for a revitalization plan for a year-round Downtown; and the charge to create a cohesive strategy to set the stage for the future of a prosperous and vital downtown that integrates the past; integrates *present* economic considerations and conditions, and sets the stage for the future based on past recommendations, *current analysis* and future trends.

The report is built around the cornerstones of Downtown Revitalization: Economics, Streetscapes, Buildings and Citizen Participation. The Preface contains summaries of each of those categories; it is intended that the Preface gives those who don't want to examine the entire Plan sufficient information to understand the basic process;

Recommendation: Brian Hodges, speaking for his advisory committee, CEDAC, spoke to the opportunity they saw in reviewing the Plan: They recommend taking advantage of the Preface to make people aware that there *are* opportunities for jobs to be created with the creation of a vital downtown. They want to make it clear that the Master Plan is not a jobs plan, but they also realize the concern people have about bringing jobs to Town; CEDAC would like to tie in the possibility up front that jobs *can* result from a coordinated effort. The group agreed that simply changing the lead in to Page 3 might serve the purpose of connecting future jobs to economic development and the following language was suggested:

"Purpose & Goals of the Master Plan – "Set the Stage" for Prosperity and Vitality – <u>That Means</u> Jobs!"

Correction: Page 3: *An Economic Vision for Camden* should not be attributed to CEDAC – the study was requested by the Select Board.

Discussion: Martin Cates: The Select Board Chair responded to a question about the Budget Committee's refusal to recommend TIF money be spent to support CEDAC's request for implementing recommendations of the Downtown Master Plan: One member of the Committee was very persuasive in their argument that the Town should not support the Plan in any way; he did not want to give the Select Board authority to spend TIF dollars on a general request. The Working Group agreed that the Budget Committee did not have the final say, and the Select Board could be asked to add the funds back in to the budget that will go to Town Meeting in June. Another tactic would be for CEDAC to spend time educating the public about the Plan, and then try again -- perhaps even go to a special Town Meeting -- once the project can be more fully described and linked to the Plan

Recommendation: TIF financing is a great tool to fund these projects: The Plan should call it out more on Page 4 where the concept is introduced and describe the funding process set by the State.

♦ *Part 1: Introduction:* The Plan next includes an Introduction which covers the following subjects: Assets & Challenges of Camden's Downtown; The Master Planning Process & Citizen Participation; a Study Area Map; The Maine Downtown Center & the Main Street Approach; and

An introduction to Funding Strategies & Action Items to inform readers that there are answers to the first question everyone asks – How Do We Pay for This?

Chapter 1: Economic Revitalization Funding Strategies & Action Items: Rodney Lynch addressed possible funding opportunities categorized for each of the nine Economic Clusters he identified in the Downtown that can be leveraged to encourage economic development: 1a) The Technology Economy; The Creative Economy which includes: 1b) The Library Complex; 1c) a Movie Theater; and 1d) the Opera House; 1e) The Events Economy; 1f) The Recreational Economy; 1g) The New Business Growth Economy which discusses funding opportunities to capitalize an Incubator Business Assistance Program and Strategies for Marketing the Town; 1h) The Historic and Architecture Economy; and 1i) The Tourism and Visitors Economy.

Chapter 2: Streetscape, Circulation & Parking Funding Strategies & Action Items: Regina Leonard took a different approach to presenting funding strategies for projects falling under the general category of "Streetscape": She presented the first step - Policy & Partnership strategies the Town can undertake – things that can make a difference without incurring costs; the next steps are Action & Funding Strategies to begin Survey, Design & Engineering studies followed by Implementation (Construction). Most contained a possible budget amount as well as categories called "Early Win" - less expensive projects that would have an immediate impact that the Town could do own their own without looking for outside funding. This was especially true of the category she call "Warm & Fuzzy Projects" that were meant to help beautify the Town.

Chapter 3: Building Funding Strategies: Denis Lachman briefly described tax credits available to assist with project funding in some historic properties. He informed the group that he will elaborate on these possibilities in a presentation open to anyone that will be held on April 17.

4 5

1

2

3

Discussion: The different formats for Chapters 1 and 2 led to confusion by readers:

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

1) It seemed like an explanation was needed describing the clusters in Chapter 1, especially, and Members wondered if the order of the sections was the problem: Perhaps Part 1, funding recommendations, should follow the full presentation of the subject in Part 3 so readers had been made aware of the concept.

Recommendation: Add a cross-reference to the relevant chapter section "For More Information."

13 14 15

16

17

2) Members wondered if the layout of the two chapters should be similar - most found Chapter 2 easier to comprehend. Mr. Lachman asked Mr. Lynch if changing formats would suit his data, and Mr. Lynch was not sure that it would – he would think about how to make this section easier to understand.

18 19 20

21

22

3) Page 20: Members discussed whether or not including the goal to revisit the Harbor Bridge was a "potential land mine." It was agreed that this language is a true representation of the Goals set by the Select Board; that the concept – once very popular with Downtown merchants and citizens – still had strong support; and, that it should stay as an active Goal in the Plan.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

30

Part 1: #4. Select Board Goals

Recommendations:

1. Move Select Board Goals 2012 (page 20) before the Action Item Chapters so it is clear that many of the goals were set by the Select Board, and not created within the Plan. That order would clearly tie the Select Board's goals to the Plan. It was also recommended the wording be changed to "Select Board Adopted Goals" to avoid confusion about overlapping timelines.

31 32 33

2. Add language to the Preface referring to implementing the Select Board's goals

34 35 **♦** Part 2: Chapter Summaries:

36 37

38

Chapter 1 Summary - Economic Revitalization, Funding & Implementation: Rodney Lynch

39 40

In this summary Mr. Lynch introduces the economic goals of the Plan, the process of identifying the economic values that guide the Plan, the concept of Economic Development Clusters, and how each cluster will be described and evaluated in the full Chapter.

41 42

Recommendation:

43 Take advantage of the language in the Introduction to introduce "jobs": "The economic goals of 44 the Camden Downtown Master Plan are to provide a framework...for:

45

... attracting new businesses which means jobs • strengthening existing businesses which means jobs

46

Chapter 2 Summary – Streetscapes, Circulation & Parking: Regina Leonard

The summary provides the rationale for making public investments in streetscapes, signage, parking, pedestrian safety, and traffic improvements. She introduces the recommendations she will discuss in detail in the full Chapter, and informs the reader these recommendations respond to many sources including the Town's own vision and economic development studies conducted for the Town – these are not generic recommendations, but are made with a solid local basis. She supports the public investment requirement for funding improvements with findings from studies done specific to revitalizing Maine downtowns, and she describes the steps the Town will need to take to implement the Plan's recommended improvements.

Chapter 3 Summary – Buildings: Denis Lachman

Mr. Lachman introduces the Property and Building Inventory, the Physical Data Summary, and the Occupancy/Business Data Summary – all of which are detailed in the full Chapter. He also notes the important, but under-utilized role the National Register Historic Districts play as an asset to the Downtown.

▶ Chapter 4 Summary – Citizen Participation:

The Chapter details the "Many Opportunities for Participation and High Engagement" that was a goal of the Working Group: to gather significant input from many sources and provide multiple opportunities for public participation. The Plan notes how the unusually high degree of public engagement allowed the Plan to evolve quickly into answering "HOW" to revitalize downtown, resulting in a Plan that was focused on the Hopes and Concerns of the citizens who took the time to participate in the many ways that are described in this summary.

Recommendation:

Let readers know that they can obtain the full survey and results on the Town web site.

Discussion:

Barrie Pribyl: She wonders if there is the opportunity to rethink the Opera House proposal. She suggests not moving forward with renovations to the third floor until they consider the use of the first floor, and perhaps the creation of a movie theatre on the premises – the Opera House would become a locus for entertainment. This is a way to maximize the energies now going separately – one group for the Opera House and one group working on a movie theatre in the downtown. She would take advantage of the synergy that could result from a project like this.

This evolved into a discussion about the need for conference break-out rooms that might also be satisfied by an entire Opera House renovation, relocating the Town Office elsewhere. The Opera House is the center of the campus concept that evolved as a result of the need to accommodate larger groups at conferences.

Recommendation:

Ms. Pribyl wondered if the Economic Action Items in the Chapter 1 Summary reflect the priorities of the Town; she suggested reordering the Creative Economy Cluster (page 22) and putting the Opera House before the Movie Theater. All agreed that night time activities ranked high as something with economic value.

Funding Opera House Renovations:

Mr. Lachman reminded the Group of his offer to hold a workshop on April 17 to discuss opportunities for funding renovations and upgrades to historic buildings. In addition to property owners, he suggested that representatives from business (bankers and realtors, e.g.,) non-profit organizations and other towns' officials could all benefit from the information. Mr. Hodges asked for help from the group in publicizing this workshop in light of the fact that the only local paper has folded.

7 8 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

♦ Part 3: Full Chapters

Chapter 1: Economic Revitalization, Funding & Implementation: Rodney Lynch

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

Discussion/Recommendations/Corrections:

- Page 64: Historic and Architecture Economy Cluster is 1h not 1g; and
- Page 69: Tourist and Visitor Economy Cluster is 1i not 1h.
- Page 65: There was discussion about whether or not to include the development of a Historic Ordinance in the list of Opportunities. Mr. Lynch noted that in order to access certain State funding this ordinance is a requirement. Mr. Hodges stated that he understood that the proposal to create such an ordinance in Camden met with strong opposition, and some in attendance
- 19 thought that a different approach from the original proposal might be better received. 20 Page 69: In response to the question of why Tourism was left to the last when it is the most
 - important economy in Town, Mr. Lynch responded that everything all the other economies –
- 22 lead here. Everything that is done otherwise will benefit this cluster, and vice versa.

23 24

25

26

27

21

Chapter 2: Streetscapes, Parking & Circulation: Regina Leonard

Ms. Leonard introduces the Chapter by describing recent trends across the country regarding the rebirth of the downtown. These trends, and the research findings regarding successful revitalization efforts, are used to support the proposal to revitalize the physical downtown to encourage economic development.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

The Chapter is divided into two parts – Streetscapes and Parking & Circulation – with a description of the specific problems and the opportunities to fix them for each, followed by very specific recommendations for improvements. In each instance she has attempted to provide the local facts (problems) and then discuss the rationale so readers can understand why the specific recommendations were being made. She also has suggested scenarios for future projects and outreach (page 87), and this is where the subject of the future of the Public Landing is broached. There will be one schematic included in the Supplemental Information section, and the Plan discusses some different options for changes. But, she explains, the redevelopment scenarios in the Plan do not include specific design recommendations.

38 39 40

41

The section contains lots of "Policy as Action" recommendations – the "Early Wins" described briefly in Part 2. It will be important to keeping community interest in the project to do some things right away to demonstrate progress toward the goals.

42 43

Chapter 3: Buildings: Denis Lachman

44 45

46

47

Mr. Lachman noted that what he has attempted to do in this Chapter is to show what can be done with the different data bases he has developed on the occupancy and use, and the physical components of all the buildings in the covered area. There are examples of reports included, detailed information on the buildings and uses, and pictures of all of the buildings; this information can all be used to target market the Town's downtown.

Corrections:

- 5 Page 91: TIF is misspelled.
- 6 Page 95: The business "Guzzy's" should be "Cuzzy's" instead.
 - Page 119: The picture is out-dated: ABCD Books is no longer in business

♦ Part 4: Supplemental Information

This section is not yet ready for review, but it will contain schematics of the Chapter 2 design recommendations and reference sources, among other things.

Further comments or corrections should be passed to the Team through Mr. Hodges and received within the next two days so the Team can complete their revisions.

 Mr. Hodges asked the Team what else they needed from Camden, and Mr. Lachman replied that he believes the Team is ready to discuss the Plan with the Select Board next month. Ms. Pribyl expressed the thoughts of the group when she commented that she was "stunned" by the amount of data and the incredible job of organizing it into such a cohesive presentation.

Recommendation:

Pete Brown suggested that the term "revitalization" might be replaced with terms like health and vitality in places – it is used a lot and if projects are seen as having the benefit of increasing the health and vitality of the Town instead, it might produce a more positive reaction.

Select Board Presentation:

Mr. Lachman asked for any guidance the group might have regarding the presentation to the Select Board. Martin Cates informed the Team that this would be the primary agenda item at the April 17 meeting, and the purpose is informational only. The meeting will be broadcast and the hope is that viewers will tune in to become informed about the Master Plan.

Members suggested providing a brief history of getting to this point. Using Ms. Leonard's "breadcrumb" approach to enticing people by tossing them more and more detailed bits of information would be a way to introduce the Plan; let viewers know that the Plan itself is very detailed, and these are the kinds of things you can find within its pages. Repeating some of the comments from the public forums – questions that had been asked and answered, or concerns that had been heard and addressed reiterates the fact that the public has been involved at every step. Members as a whole agreed that stressing the opportunities that existed for public input and the degree to which people participated is very important to combating opponents who will say this is being forced upon the Town.

Ms. Pribyl suggested using the comments from Page 2 of the Plan: It is a perfect synopsis:

- "...This Downtown Master Plan is a "capstone" to previous studies that accomplishes the following:
- 1. Integrates the Past– builds on previous reports and studies by coordinating concepts into a single comprehensive document.

4

5 6 7

8 9

10

21 22 23

20

28 29 30

31 32 33

34 35

36 37 38

2. Integrates Present Economic Considerations with Physical Conditions – no previous studies integrated economic considerations with physical conditions to create a comprehensive whole – essential for downtown revitalization.

3. Sets the Stage for the Future – a road map for the future based on past recommendations, current analysis and future trends."

Members agreed that the entire Preface hits the points that need to be made.

Mr. Hodges asked if he, or Ms. Finnigan, could assist in the presentation by introducing the Team saying: "This is the Team we hired. In our estimation did they meet the contract? Yes; in fact they exceeded the contract and the Town is getting an exceptional product." The Working Group discussed whether or not they would be authorized to make a formal Motion in support, and did not believe they could; if they could they would send a unanimous vote of endorsement. CEDAC and the Downtown Business Group don't usually vote to endorse proposals, but CEDAC is going to discuss doing so in this case at their next meeting, and the CDBG may do the same – or at least put their stamp of approval on the Plan.

It was suggested that it be stressed that these were not "consultants that came to Town to tell us what to do" – they were only the technicians who put to paper what they heard from townspeople was important. It was suggested that everyone who can attend the meeting, and perhaps they can just be recognized for their participation. That will help connect actual local people to the work done.

Ms. Pribyl recommended that it be stressed that this Plan is not a "revolution"; it is professional business as usual to adopt a strategic plan – that is all that this is and it is nothing to worry about. Also it should be stressed that this is not all new ideas – the Plan is a compilation of some old work that has already been accepted by the Town.

Mr. Lachman thanked all for their work – it has been a pleasure to work in Camden.

Respectfully submitted,

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 4:30 pm.

Jeanne Hollingsworth, Recording Secretary

MARCH CAMDEN DOWNTOWN PLAN WORKING GROUP

Name and Affiliation Email

Rick Bates, CEDAC rbates@municipalresources.com

Pete Brown, CEDAC theoldestbrown1@Live.com

Martin Cates, Chair, Camden Select Board mcates@jaretcohn.com

Peter Gross, Chair, CEDAC peter@petergrossarchitects.com

Brian Hodges, Camden Development Director <u>bhodges@camdenmaine.gov</u>

Dave Jackson, CDBG and Camden Library Park dpjackson@roadrunner.com

Denis Lachman, Lachman Team <u>denis@lachmanarchitects.com</u>

Regina Leonard, Lachman Team <u>Regina@rsldesign.com</u>

Rodney Lynch, Lachman Team cpo9@ne.twcbc.com

Meg Quijano, CDBG <u>meg@smilingcow.com</u>

Michael Sabatini, Lachman Team <u>Mike@LandmarkMaine.com</u>

Steve Wilson, Camden Code Enforcement Officer/Planner swilson@camdenmaine.gov